

HAMILTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
JANUARY 23, 2004

The Hamilton County Board of Commissioners met on Friday, January 23, 2004 at 7:30 am at the Hamilton County Highway Department, 1700 S. 10th Street, Noblesville, Indiana. President Dillinger called the meeting to order and declared a quorum present of Commissioner Christine Altman, Commissioner Steven A. Holt and Commissioner Steven C. Dillinger.

Small Structures [#11]

256th/Taylor Creek:

Mr. Mike McBride presented an update on the Small Structure on 256th Street. A public meeting was held. The consulting engineer has examined the hydraulics of the structure and has determined the existing structure is under sized for the 100 year storm event. It is apparent that the structure down stream is undersized. If we fix the structure on 256th Street it will create a bottleneck at the structure on Cal Carson. Mr. McBride recommended that we increase the scope of the design contract to include replacing the Cal Carson structure. Holt asked what is the time line? Mr. McBride stated it could be done this year, assuming right of way acquisition goes smoothly and we have funds to do it. Holt asked if they are currently small structures? Mr. McBride stated yes, they will be bridges when we are done. The bridge on 256th Street is 15' span. The one on Cal Carson is two steel pipes about 6' in diameter. We will have money to do both this year if we do not do Bridge #33, 106th Street at Crooked Stick Lane. He understands Carmel has expressed a desire to get this done before next Fall. Holt asked what is going on at the 106th Street structure? Mr. McBride stated the right of way acquisition. Holt asked how is it not performing properly? Mr. McBride stated it is functioning fine hydraulically and traffic flow. The structure has cracking of the concrete. It has had the cracking for at least three years and does not appear to be getting any worse. Mr. Brad Davis asked if that structure could be delayed until after the golf tournament? Mr. McBride stated yes, it is functioning. Holt stated we would not be able to live it down if that bridge was out during the golf tournament. Holt asked if you see any scenario where that structure might fail before or during the golf tournament? Mr. McBride stated it is a small likelihood of it failing. It would be less than 5%. Dillinger asked if there is anything we can do to shore it up? Mr. McBride stated no. Dillinger asked if that is a place to put a wood bridge, quickly? Holt asked what is currently designed? Mr. McBride stated it is a 55' span concrete beam structure, with cast in place stone appearing walls and architectural treatment. Holt asked when would it be done, assuming land acquisition was not an issue? Mr. McBride stated in three to four months. Holt asked when is the tournament? Dillinger stated in 2005. Mr. Davis asked if we did not do Cal Carson and proceeded with Cool Creek, how long would we have to wait to get the second structure on Cal Carson done? Mr. McBride stated if we were to do Bridge #33 on 106th Street his funds would not be sufficient to replace both structures. We could do one this year and one next year. We have been waiting on condemnation for the structure on 106th Street for awhile. Altman stated if we are going to do it we will have to send it to Ann Poindexter. Mr. McBride stated there would be some economy of scale if we bid the two structures together. Holt asked what is the shortfall if we did all three? Mr. McBride stated approximately \$400,000. Holt asked if it is being done out of bridge or small structure? Mr. McBride stated small structure. Holt stated they are turning into bridges? Mr. McBride stated yes. Holt asked if there is \$400,000 in the Cumulative Capital Bridge Fund? Mr. Davis stated all the money is programmed. Altman stated we have the water back-up issue, which increases the priority. Is this the worst back up on small structures in the county? Mr. McBride stated yes. Mr. Neal stated currently we have seven bridges we planned on starting design on this year. We are only planning doing six. We have three bridges in the Sheridan area, Bridge #2, Jerkwater over Pierce Ditch, Bridge #8 & #9 which are 281st and 276th over Pierce Ditch, which are close together. Bridge #76, Ray Parker Road over Bear Creek, Bridge #86, Rulon Road over Weasel Creek and Bridge #105, Anthony Road over Jones Ditch. Of those bridges, Anthony Road has some structural issues and we would not want to push back. None of the others are high traffic roads. Engineering costs are approximately \$130,000 to \$150,000. Altman asked if they bring a recommendation to Monday's meeting. Dillinger asked if the bridge on 131st Street that Hamilton Southeastern Schools is interested in is on the list? Mr. Neal stated it is not this year. It is planned for 2006/2007. Altman asked if we are going to do what they are requesting? Mr. Knight stated it is programmed for a complete replacement. Dillinger would like to consider moving that bridge up. Dillinger asked Robin what is our surplus in COIT? Altman asked Highway COIT or overall? Dillinger stated overall. Ms. Mills stated everything is funded, there is no surplus. Dillinger asked unappropriated funds? Ms. Mills stated we don't have unappropriated funds. Ms. Mills stated when COIT comes in, the Highway gets \$7 million, the rest goes to County General and that is appropriated. Altman stated overall county wide, what is our cash balance not appropriated? Ms. Mills stated \$14 million in County General. Altman stated with loans, she thought we were at \$4 million that is uncommitted. Ms. Mills stated we have \$2.5 million coming back for the Jail Bond, \$1.9 million for the Homestead, which we have not seen yet. Ms. Mills stated they will have to borrow \$2.5 million from County General for the Juvenile Detention Center before we do the Bond. We will have another \$2.5 million out of the cash balance and then it goes back in when we get the Bond. Mr. Davis asked if we could put the bridge for the school in next year's budget to get it initiated? Altman stated that or this year with COIT dollars. She could not tell what the timing is for the school. Holt asked Mr. Davis to discuss this with them on Monday.

Holt motioned to go forward with 106th Street and the two bridges on Cal Carson and 256th Street and decide Monday what we are going to delay out of Cumulative Capital Bridge Fund. Altman asked to try to get it done in 2004? Holt stated yes. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Federal Aid Project Submittals: [#309]

Mr. Jim Neal presented a map of the MPO areas in Hamilton County. Mr. Thurman stated while talking with the MPO they feel it is a real possibility that the nine adjacent counties to Marion County may all become in the urbanized area. Holt asked if Kokomo has an MPO? Mr. Davis stated yes and Anderson and Muncie have their own. Altman stated we might want to look at becoming our own MPO. Mr. Neal stated it was asked when this was brought to Noblesville and the answer was no, you are going to be drawn into the Indianapolis MPO. Short of legislative action, there is no way for us to be split out of the Indianapolis MPO. Altman asked if the Highway staff would look at what the independent MPO's are getting compared to what Hamilton County is getting? Holt asked if they keep figures nationally on per capita highway dollar allocation? Mr. Davis stated he is not sure how it is allocated, based on a per capita in the MPO Planning Area or MPO organized areas. Holt asked if someone would get that information.

Mr. Davis stated we need to determine what and if we want to apply in this current call for projects for funding and what time frame we might want to have the monies available for construction. Mr. Davis presented a chart of potential projects that would be appropriate to apply for federal aid. We have identified the years it would be appropriate to have those roads under construction. Mr. Davis stated we looked at what we have ready for construction. They are typically funded for construction or construction inspection, not project development. As we apply for these funds there are two time frames that we can apply for, the 2002-2006 period and the 2007-2015 period. Our thoughts were to move quickly this year and submit applications for the 104th and Olio Road intersection and the 96th Street and Towne Road intersection. If we were to be successful and get them approved (possibly by April), we feel we have the money for the match from rollover money that was available from last year. There is approximately \$900,000 that we have available from last year that we have not encumbered. Altman asked Highway COIT? Mr. Davis stated yes. We feel we can come up with a match and move those forward and see something get constructed. Holt asked how far out are you programming to use the \$900,000? Mr. Davis stated their thought

HAMILTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
JANUARY 23, 2004

was to use part of it to hold for the match if we get the two projects approved, the balance of that we are looking to spend for right of way for the section on 96th Street from Mollenkopf to Fall Creek. That is the third project on the list and to also apply for federal aid and look at 2005 for that project. Hopefully we could get federal aid for 2005 to construct it. Mr. Neal stated the Commissioners will receive information on what we are requesting from County Council this month. There is about \$900,000 in additional. When we are talking about this \$900,000 we originally started with \$1.8 million. We have already taken out what we are requesting. Mr. Davis stated a problem with the Mollenkopf and Fall Creek project, if we were to be successful and that happened, we would still have to have the match. If our budget is the same in 2005 as 2004, that could be a problem, this would be a \$2.5-\$3 million dollar project. We would have to have \$600,000 and monies for inspection. Altman stated if we get a federal match project, we could easily get that out of Council in reallocation of COIT. We should forge ahead assuming that. Mr. Davis stated we could plan ahead and ask for that in our next year's budget submittal. Altman stated we are probably better off asking for real projects from Council with a disclosure footnoted at request time that we will come back if we get matching federal grant money. Altman stated we might want to ask Council for a resolution that they will match. Altman asked Mr. Davis to come up with a list of what we are submitting and roll that in to a resolution that if all or part hits up to x dollars we will match. That will keep the Council informed of what we are asking for. Mr. Davis stated we can apply for these projects to request money at any point in time. We may not want to apply for all of these at this time. Holt stated he thought we knew how we were going to fund the Hazel Dell Project. Dillinger stated part of it was bond, it is in the list of Congressional projects that we hope we will know something in April or May. Altman stated we have that request to Jan Powell for highway funding with the new bill coming out the multi-year one. Mr. Davis stated is it in there? Altman stated the only money we have is in the 2004 Fiscal Bill, not the Highway Bill. Mr. Davis stated that was approved yesterday. Altman stated she will check with Jan Powell. We had \$1 million for 146th Street and \$500,000 for the Riverwalk project. Holt stated how much for Hazel Dell? Dillinger stated that part there was nothing. The other part had \$8 million. Altman stated we should ask Jan if it would hurt us in the Federal direct ask or does it show that it is a serious project if we ask in both places? Holt stated we should not ask at both places and if it doesn't work at Federal we should have a local solution and move forward with it. He did not think we were going to wait that long to do Hazel Dell. Dillinger stated 146th Street and Hazel Dell are our two priorities. Holt stated he is not sure Hazel Dell should be in the MPO ask at all. It is crazy to put something in there that they know we are going to build whether they approve it or not. We have committed that Hazel Dell will be built. Altman stated if that gets budgeted that frees up something else. Holt stated not for us, if we loose our rotation, Greenwood's project or Cumberland's project fills it. Mr. Neal stated we did ask the MPO and they said it is the next available project. Holt stated he would advocate that after we get past the Mollenkopf, 104th Street that we put the 236th Street progression in there. We know we will not be able to fund it, unless something dramatic changes in county funds. Mr. Davis stated we have Olio Road in because it is nearing the point where it will be constructed. Altman asked if we have an agreement with Fishers that the County will control Olio Road, even if they annex? Dillinger stated he does not think so. Mr. Neal stated he thinks we have it now. He will confirm it. Holt asked Dillinger where Fishers is on Mollenkopf? Dillinger stated they are willing to cooperate on the corner they have. Altman asked when are we meeting with Fishers? Altman asked Fred to schedule the meeting. Holt and Dillinger agreed.

Holt stated on the Westfield Boulevard to Keystone on 96th Street, Carmel made it clear that is something they are assuming responsibility for. Mr. Davis stated he thought they were looking at just the intersection at Westfield Boulevard not the connection at Keystone. Mr. Neal stated he met with the Carmel City Engineer and he only talked about roundabouts on Westfield Boulevard at Reel and 96th Street. Altman stated she would like to put this in. Another reason to put it in ours for the request for additional lanes at 96th Street and Keystone. She worked on a business alliance at 96th Street and Keystone. Kate Weese was trying to push forward with INDOT to get some of their issues from a business standpoint put through. One of the commitments we were making was to extend on west bound 96th Street additional pavement so we could get another right hand turn lane on the east approach. Mr. Davis stated the additional thru lane at the intersection as well as dual lefts north bound Keystone. Altman stated part of the hang up was they did not want to do another thru lane because we went down to one lane on 96th Street. It says something if we put in a request. Holt asked if Altman was familiar with the roundabout? Altman stated at one point he was talking about a roundabout with a ramp onto it. Holt stated last week, Mayor Brainard was extremely enthusiastic and any day now he was going to do a roundabout where 96th Street hits Westfield. He was going to talk to Bart Peterson about doing a roundabout where Westfield hits Reel. The study they had done stated it would handle a huge volume of traffic. If he does that, it would be grid lock on 96th Street to Keystone. Altman agreed. Somebody needs to do something. Holt stated this is programmed in 2010, he does not think it will be in our jurisdiction in 24 months. Altman stated that is part of the annexation agreement. Holt stated if we give it a place in line, it is a place wasted. We can't transfer ours to Carmel. Altman stated she understands, but the odds of that going in and even if we do get the money, Holt stated we will not get the money because it will not be in our jurisdiction. Altman stated we have gotten a significant amount of money from that region to put back in there. Holt stated if you feel strongly that it is our responsibility, then let's convince the Council to bond it and build it. Holt stated this should have happened five years ago, not now. Altman stated any project on the county border we will have the same argument. Holt stated we spent a lot of capital getting this done and it should have happened when we worked on it, not 2010. It clearly needs to be done, he does not think this is the right vehicle to get it done. Altman stated Keystone and 96th Street is one of the worst messes we have in the county. East - west in Clay Township along 431 is horrible. It backs up to Westfield. Dillinger stated the same thing happens in the eastern part of the county. Altman stated she knows, these are the hot points in the county. Holt stated they are not easy because they require so many people to agree to get anything to happen. Mr. Davis asked if we submitted it and put it out to a future year, wouldn't these other things clear themselves up before it would ever become a project out of this? Holt stated yes, but you are giving up a place in line. Mr. Davis stated he is not sure you are giving up a place in line, because the line has not been formed that far out. Holt stated this can not be done that far out, it needs to be done now. Mr. Davis stated we can delete it, we are going to submit projects and chances of getting more than one are remote. We are putting a wish list together, it does not mean we are getting anything. Mr. Neal stated we have not discussed if the Bill did pass and we get the \$1 million for 146th Street, if we can use that for engineering, that would free up other money that we are holding back on to do that work. Right now in the 1998 Bond Issue, we have approximately \$1.5 million in cash and he does not see us using it for any other projects. He thought about \$1.3 million would go for engineering. If that bill did pass, we may have other money. Altman asked if we have right of way money for 146th Street? Mr. Neal stated no, all we have done is surveying. Altman stated if that is our high priority to get it done, she would hate to shift what we have allocated for 146th Street to another project. She would rather see it go towards right of way acquisition until the timing is right. Dillinger stated we increased the amount of money we asked for 146th Street, we increased the money we asked for Hazel Dell Road, we need to check. Altman stated in speaking with Jan Powell, in terms of projects that we have a higher likelihood for getting money, it is going to be 146th Street and Riverwalk. Her experience has been that once you get seed money, you are in the system and you start getting funding. If we have to come up with local money for right of way, she would like to keep it earmarked and supplemented and not dilute it. Keep it and hopefully she is

HAMILTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
JANUARY 23, 2004

right and we start getting a steady stream for 146th Street and get it built. Holt stated there is also a risk of taking money out that was budgeted and some one figures out we received federal money and we spent our money somewhere else. Mr. Neal stated currently it is not budgeted.

Holt asked Dillinger what his feeling is on the Hazel Dell issue and should it be in this? Altman stated she will ask Jan Powell to see if we should do it. She will come back with her recommendation on Monday.

Mr. Davis stated we have not discussed 96th Street from Lantern Road to Mollenkopf Road. There will have to be an agreement with Fishers if it is joint jurisdiction. Dillinger stated we need to talk with Fishers on that.

Dillinger asked where are we on the COIT issue? Altman stated the last time she talked to the accountants they had gone through all the information that had been produced, they have made contacts with the Department of Revenue and they believed they would get voluntary information out of them. Dillinger asked Altman to find out the status and report back to the Commissioners.

Mr. Davis asked which projects would you like to see us submit applications for? Our drop dead date is January 30th. Altman asked what happened to Lamong Road? Mr. Neal stated it is outside the MPO. Mr. Neal stated the 236th Street, US 31 to Cal Carson on this list should be on the rural list. Mr. Davis stated the thought on 236th Street was to get it in there for the future. Mr. Neal stated we are about 50% done on engineering, but with no revenue stream to build it, we have not pushed it. Altman stated other than timing, they are projects we don't have money for. Dillinger stated he is not sure why we have 96th Street and Towne Road. He would rather see Mollenkopf Road to Fall Creek moved up. Mr. Neal stated we have 96th Street and Towne if we can get money this year to build it, it is ready to go. The way everybody is annexing, he does not know of any of these projects that we can't say are not going to be annexed by the time we build them. Holt stated there are a lot of projects in the MPO pipeline and this may be a call for new projects, but they may be programmed out five years from now. We are never going to build Towne Road five years from now, it is a wasted ask. Altman stated it needs to be built, it is just like Olio, it is the same concept, you have a north-south corridor on the east and west part of the county. Holt stated he is not arguing against that, it is an issue of getting MPO dollars. Altman stated she does not want it to fall off the radar screen, if we are not going to put it in the request then we need to direct the Highway Department to put it in the budget. Mr. Davis stated we could scrap 96th Street and Towne from the submittal and ask for money for Mollenkopf and Fall Creek a little earlier. We will have to get a commitment from the Council that if it was approved the match would be there. It is ready to go except all the right of way has to be acquired. Holt asked how many dollars have been requested from the MPO before this year's cycle? Mr. Davis stated he did not know. Holt stated it is silly to put projects that are ready to go in to this. We will build them or one of our municipalities will build them before they come up in rotation. [#1126] Holt motioned to approve as it stands. Altman seconded with the removal of Cal Carson to US 31 because it should be in the rural. Holt accepted the amendment. Dillinger and Altman approved. Holt opposed. Motion carries.

Travel Advisory Operating Procedures: [#1140]

Altman presented the revised Travel Advisory Operating Procedures. She adjusted Allisonville to White River as the east-west divider.

Insurance Committee Recommendations: [#1151]

Dillinger stated the Insurance Committee met yesterday and both Mr. Fearrin and Mr. Walker recommend we go back to a self insured program. We have a potential of saving several hundreds of thousands of dollars, but we have to approve the recommendation on Monday. Mr. Fearrin stated the insurance was extended for 30 days. There has to be a decision on Monday. It was recommended to the Insurance Committee that we go with the partially self-funded arrangement. Mr. Fearrin presented an overview of the plan to the Commissioners. This would incorporate all coverages, including Workmen's Compensation. The policy with IPEP would have to be canceled. Holt stated when we did this before with Gallagher Bassett, Worker's Compensation was not included, why is it included now? Mr. Fearrin stated the problem is that the umbrella did not go over and above the liability coverage. We can't get an umbrella over IPEP because no companies will go over and above the IPEP policy. Holt asked why do we need an umbrella over Worker's Compensation? Mr. Fearrin stated you don't over Worker's Compensation, but the employer's liability coverage you do. Holt asked if you have a valid Work Compensation claim, why would there be employee liability? Mr. Fearrin stated in Indiana there has never been a successful employer liability claim paid. There are situations that can occur that Work Compensation in Indiana does not cover. We only have \$1 million of coverage with IPEP and that is not a lot of coverage any more. Holt asked aggregate coverage or claim coverage? Mr. Fearrin stated that is both per claim and aggregate. Altman asked if this would cover intentional acts? Mr. Fearrin stated there would have to be negligence on the employer that is not covered on the Work Compensation act. Altman stated that is where we discussed where our holes might be and it was basically non-existent. Holt asked who would be administering the Worker's Compensation? Mr. Fearrin stated it would be a Third Party Administrator, Alternative Services Concepts. Holt stated Indiana Worker's Compensation is different from most States. Mr. Fearrin stated these people do a lot of work in Indiana. This similar program is with a number of Indiana counties. Holt stated IPEP is specialized in that, Worker's Compensation is a world unto itself. Mr. Dillinger stated there is about \$118,000 savings involved. It will not work unless we put the Worker's Compensation in. Dillinger stated if you look at our management system, we have come a long way. Mr. Fearrin stated our claim count has gone down due to good management. Because of the good experience, this should work. Altman stated Jeff Rushforth is strapped for time with everything we have put on him, will this result in more personnel costs? Mr. Fearrin stated we met with Jeff. The Third Party Administrator will do a lot of work, their fee is built in to this cost. Altman asked if this will give Jeff more managerial responsibility? Dillinger stated we will have a lot more say in what we pay and don't pay, which will give Jeff more ability to manage. Mr. Fearrin stated on your self insured portion he will be involved and will make decisions, just as you can. Dillinger stated there is nothing more important than this, this will have to be his priority. Holt stated we will have to extend the time allowed for Executive Sessions. Dillinger agreed. Altman asked what is our total savings? Mr. Fearrin stated if you project over the last 5 years, your savings should be about \$115,000 per year.

Federal Aid Project Submittals: [1403]

Mr. Davis asked to clarify the motion regarding Federal Aid Project Submittals. You approved this with the deletion of the bottom project? Holt stated he made a motion that he hoped would not pass. Dillinger brought the motion back to the floor. Altman seconded. Holt asked Mr. Davis to call Mike Deering and ask how much is in the pipeline right now and in our submittal what is the first year we would see any funding for anything we submit in 2004. Altman asked if he could find out if the project is sitting there and we decide it is not our priority, can we substitute within our slot? Mr. Davis stated they are project specific, they are not allocations to us, it is for the project. Altman agreed with Holt to pull out 96th Street, but it still is a priority. If we understand that if it is not annexed and we come up with some cash, it is a priority. Dillinger stated that will move up Mollenkopf and Fall Creek? Mr. Davis stated they are each judged on their own merits in relation to other projects. Dillinger stated if we pull off 96th and Towne that just moves everything up? Altman stated that pulls out a 2004 project. Mr. Neal stated he does not think we will get any money in 2004. Holt stated that comes back to the question to Mike Deering, what is the first year we can reasonably expect to see funding. If you get the answer that it is three or four years from now, then

HAMILTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
JANUARY 23, 2004

this is the wrong list and the only one on it is 236th Street that makes sense to submit and we should be looking more long term to put on this list. These are our most important issues, which arguably means that none of them should be submitted to the MPO because it takes too long. Holt motioned that if you get the answer, that he suspicions you are going to get, then on Monday we approve a submittal of 236th Street, excluding the US 31 to Cal Carson to the MPO and between now and Monday you look at a few other projects that we might put in line behind them. That is a motion if you get that answer. Otherwise go with the order you have and take Hazel Dell Road and Towne Road out of it. We would have 96th and Mollenkopf, 96th to 104th and then drop down to 236th. Dillinger stated that is a big number on 96th to Cumberland, \$7 million? Mr. Neal stated we are taking historical costs for these numbers. Dillinger stated maybe that part should be left on. Holt stated he would leave it on, it gives us something to talk with Fishers about. We can pull it next year. Dillinger agreed. Holt motioned that if he gets the answer that we are three or four years down before any of these get funded, we go to 236th Street and some other projects that we have not discussed that you bring to us on Monday. If you don't get that answer and there is a likelihood of something happening in the short term, your number 1 and 3 issue and 96th Street from Lantern Road to Cumberland Road and then 236th Street. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

146th Street Extension: [#1559]

Mr. Neal stated Mr. Thurman has distributed the project scope analysis for the 146th Street Extension project. There is a difference in project costs between the curb section and the open ditch section. Holt stated he would rather have this reported with the media in the room. Everyone wants to know what is going on. Mr. Thurman stated it is our intent to decide what type of section to use. We would like to have that decision on Monday because we are talking to engineers and it would be helpful to pin down what type of sections.

104th Street and Olio Road: [1592]

Mr. Neal stated if we can't do the realignment of 104th Street and Olio Road, the school system is looking at us to do some work at the entrance to the school. As part of the right of way swap, we said we would do that work with the thought we would be done before the school opens. The school opens this Fall. The entrance work would be approximately \$75,000 - \$100,000. It is something that needs to be done before the school opens. The school did not have it in their budget because we had the agreement that we would do it. We could work it into a resurface project or money left from the Bond Issue. Altman stated to pull it from Bond Issue, that was part of that overall Bond project. Holt asked if we could act on that Monday? Altman agreed.

Mr. Neal distributed a packet of information of items that will be presented on Monday.

Clay Township: [1666]

Mr. Neal stated he sent a memo regarding what we were going to be doing in Clay Township as far as engineering. He met with the City of Carmel and they seem to want to continue to do subdivision plan review, he does not see any problem with us letting them do that. He believes we should continue to do everything else. Altman asked where are we? Holt stated we agreed to maintain the roads until the end of the year. Altman asked if they are going to keep the inventory on the County coffers? Holt stated yes. Altman asked if they are going to sign off on an Interlocal to that effect? Mr. Davis stated he thought the agreement had been sent to Carmel. Ms. Rauch confirmed that the agreement was sent to Carmel.

Bridge #82, Crooked Creek on Big Cicero:

Holt asked if there is a canoe landing at Bridge #82, Crooked Creek on Big Cicero? Mr. Neal stated he does not think INDOT would allow us to have it in the INDOT contract. He does not think it is. Mr. Knight stated he has looked at this issue a couple of times and has spoken to Al Patterson, his response was that he would talk to the Park Board and he has not heard back from him. Altman asked what is the cost? Holt stated a load of gravel. Altman motioned to get it done and not worry about the Parks Department. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Pittman Property:

Mr. Neal stated during the meeting with Carmel a question was asked about the Pittman property on the southeast corner of 116th Street and Springmill, that was annexed.

Holt motioned to adjourn. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Present:

Christine Altman, Commissioner
Steven C. Dillinger, Commissioner
Steven A. Holt, Commissioner
Robin Mills, Auditor
Brad Davis, Highway Director
Jim Neal, Highway Engineer
Fred Swift, Administrative Assistant to Commissioners
Joel Thurman, Highway Project- Engineer
Matt Knight, Highway Staff Engineer
Mike McBride, Small Structure Staff Engineer
Kim Rauch, Administrative Assistant to Auditor

APPROVED
HAMILTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

ATTEST:

Robin M. Mills, Auditor